PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



P22629PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To.	
GORODISEKY & FARIWERS LIM	1 TEP
Attn. Egorova, Salina B.	
Bolshaya Spasskaya Str. 7	
Stroenie 3	Date G&P: 05/02/2007
Moscow, 139610	IIIIIII III III III III III III III II
FEDERATION DE RUSSIS	

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND

THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1) ite of mailing (day/month/year) 23/01/2007 Applicant's or agent's file reference 2420-300727 Rike FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/RU2006/000152 30/03/2006 Applicant INTEL CORPORATION 1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the When? International Search Report. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices. in respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months. See the Annex to Form PCY/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk

Tei. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni. Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Ahmed Soliman

Authorized officer

Form PCT/ISA/220 (October 2005)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the international Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required, in all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims, it should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	
2420-300727		see Form PCT/ISA/220 ell as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/RU2006/000152	30/03/2006	
Applicant		
INTEL CORPORATION		
according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	prepared by this International Searching Auth ansmitted to the International Bureau.	ority and is transmitted to the applicant
This international search report consists o	f a total of sheets.	
[X] It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	s report.
the international a a translation of the of a translation fur b. With regard to any nucleo Certain claims were four Unity of invention is lack With regard to the title,	id unsearchable (See Box No. II) ing (see Box No III)	i
	a. 1 h-16. Emily fembra	CKETING REQUIRED
		NA.
5. With regard to the abstract , X the text is approved as substitute text has been established in a substitute to the text has been established in a substitute to the text has been established in a substitute to the text has been established in a substitute to the abstract,		/ as it annears in Roy No. 0/. The problems
6. With regard to the drawings.		
a. the figure of the drawings to be put	olished with the abstract is Figure No5	
as suggested by the		
	Authority, because the applicant failed to sugg	
b. none of the figures is to be p	Authority, because this figure better characteri subfished with the abstract	zes the invention
house.	with the made ((v)	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/RU2006/000152

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. G06F9/45 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) G06F Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, INSPEC, IBM-TDB C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category' Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. Α WO 92/15941 A (DIGITAL EQUIPMENT CORP. 1 - 39[US]) 17 September 1992 (1992-09-17) page 15. line 1 - page 18, line 12 page 28, line 13 - page 30, line 12 page 34, line 4 - line 14 page 39, line 8 - page 40, line 26 page 42, line 9 - page 47, line 5 page 48, line 11 - line 26 claims 1-17 figures 1-3 Α EP 1 329 806 A (XEROX CORP [US]) 1 - 3923 July 2003 (2003-07-23) page 5, line 24 - page 8, line 49 X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or practity sate and not in conflict with the application but cated to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the lart which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention *E* earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filling date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may threw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document. "O" document reterring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. "8" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of marling of the international search report 29 December 2006 23/01/2007 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Bijswijk Tel. (+01-70) 840-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nt. Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Knapczyk, Frédéric

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/RU2006/000152

		PC1/RU2006/000152
C(Continu	ation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Α	US 6 247 174 B1 (SANTHANAM VATSA [US] ET AL) 12 June 2001 (2001-06-12) abstract column 3, line 6 - column 4, line 30 column 11, line 58 - column 12, line 16 column 14, line 10 - column 15, line 31 column 18, line 22 - column 19, line 28 claim 1	1-39
	ANDERSSON N ET AL: "Overview and industrial application of code generator generators" JOURNAL OF SYSTEMS AND SOFTWARE, [Online] 31 March 1996 (1996-03-31), pages 185-214, XP002412956 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=MImg&_imagekey=B6V0N-3VSNKDY-C-2&_cdi=5651&_user=987768&_orig=search&_coverDate=03%2F31%2F1996&_qd=1&_sk=999679996&view=c&wchp=dGLbVtb-zSkWA&md5=bd778360d33e8375d418b307051f98d4&ie=/sdarticle.pdf>[retrieved on 2006-12-28] abstract page 185, right-hand column, line 36 - page 189, right-hand column, line 10 page 190, left-hand column, line 9 - page 195, left-hand column, line 48 figures 1-4	1-39

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International application No PCT/RU2006/000152

			~		
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9215941	A	17-09-1992	AU	658399 B2	13-04-1995
			AU	1420492 A	06-10-1992
			AU	663310 B2	05-10-1995
			AU	1429292 A	06-10-1992
			AU	663311 B2	05-10-1995
			AU	1439792 A	06-10-1992
			AU	653799 B2	13-10-1994
			AU	1442292 A	06-10-1992
			AU	663493 B2	12-10-1995
			AU	1569892 A	06-10-1992
			CA	2081449 A1	28-08-1992
			CA	2081473 A1	28-08-1992
			CA	2081475 A1	28-08-1992
			CA	2081476 A1	28-08-1992
			CA	2081477 A1	28-08-1992
			DE	69225281 D1	04-06-1998
			DE	69225281 T2	07-01-1999
			EP	0528008 A1	24-02-1993
			EP	0526621 A1	10-02-1993
			EP	0526622 Al	10-02-1993
			EP	0529049 Al	03-03-1993
			EP	0532731 A1	24-03-1993
			JP	7069832 B	31-07-1995
			JP	6501579 T	17-02-1994
			JP	7069833 B	31-07-1995
			JP	6501580 T	17-02-1994
			JP	7069834 B	31-07-1995
			JP	6501581 T	17-02-1994
			JP	7062825 B	05-07-1995
			JP	6501582 T	17-02-1994
			JP	7062826 B	05-07-1995
			JP	6501583 T	17-02-1994
			WO	9215942 A1	17-09-1992
			WO	9215943 Al	17-09-1992
			WO	9215944 A1	17-09-1992
Allert representations are the second states to the second states about the second second second second second		to depart their field date 1844 think table their season was view name date.	WO	9215945 A1	17-09-1992
EP 1329806	Α	23-07-2003	JP	2003223330 A	08-08-2003
	· ···	a alian alian alian dele dele dele dele dele alian alian alian dele sego sego sego sego sego sego sego seg	US	2003188297 A1	02-10-2003
US 6247174	B1	12-06-2001	NONE		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	n the ERNATIONAL:	SEARCHING AUTHO	RITY					
То	To:				PCT			
	see form PCT/ISA/220		9	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)				
				Date of mailin	g ar) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
	licant's or agent's form PCT/IS			FOR FURT	HER ACTION			
		***************************************	5-A	See paragrapl	**************************************			
		f	International filing date (da 30.03.2006	ty:month.year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
Inte			th national dassification a	nd IPC				
	PIECE IN 1919 117 WIE I SERVED SER							
	licant EL CORPOF	ATION						
1.	This solution			And the second s				
٤.	i ins opinior	r contains indication	is relating to the follow	wing items:	•			
	🖾 Box No. I	Basis of the opin	lon					
	☐ Box No. I	l Priority						
	☐ Box No. I	Non-establishme	nt of opinion with regar	d to noveity, in	nventive step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. I	V Lack of unity of ir	rvention					
	⊠ Box No. \		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	☐ Box No. \	4 Gertain document	its cited					
	☐ Box No. \	II Certain defects in	the international applic	cation				
	☐ Box No. V	III Certain observati	ons on the international	·				
2.	FURTHER A	CTION						
	written opinio the applicant	n of the International I chooses an Authority Bureau under Rule 66	Preliminary Examining / other than this one to b	Authority ("IPE e the IPEA an	on will usually be considered to be a A") except that this does not apply where id the chosen IPEA has notifed the sternational Searching Authority			
	submit to the	PEA a written reply to of mailing of Form PC	ogether, where appropri	ate, with ame	f the IPEA, the applicant is invited to ndments, before the expiration of 3 months 22 months from the priority date,			
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3.	For further de	tails, see notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.					
Name	and mailing add	iress of the ISA.	Date of comp this opinion	pletion of	Authorized Officer			
	Europea NL-228	ал Patent Office - P.B. 50 ЭНУ Rijswijk - Pays Bas	\$18 Patentlaagee form		Knapczyk, Fredéric			
	Tel +31	70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 65 1 70 340 - 3016			Telephone No. +31 70 340-8989			

Telephone No. +31 70 340-8989

- ----

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/RU2006/000152

-	-						
****	Bo	/ xc	lo. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	W	ith r	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	\boxtimes	th	e international application in the language in which it was filed				
		a pu	translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the urposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).				
2.	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a.	type	of material:				
			a sequence listing				
			table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	þ. f	form	at of material:				
			on paper				
			in electronic form				
	c. t	ìme	of filing/furnishing:				
	1		contained in the international application as filed.				
	1		filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
	(furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		cor	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
4.	Add	litior	nal comments:				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-39

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-39

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-39

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: DATABASE INSPEC [Online] THE INSTITUTION OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS, STEVENAGE, GB; March 1996 (1996-03), ANDERSSON N ET AL: "Overview and industrial application of code generator generators" XP002412956 Database accession no. 5215711
 - D2: US-B1-6 247 174 (SANTHANAM VATSA [US] ET AL) 12 June 2001 (2001-06-12)
 - D3: WO 92/15941 A (DIGITAL EQUIPMENT CORP [US]) 17 September 1992 (1992-09-17)
- 2. The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A code generation method (page 185, right-hand column, lines 37 to 39) comprising:

- having a table of pattern (collection of rules in figure 3) comprising a DAG representing multiply and add operations (figure 3, and page 186, left-hand column, lines 26 to 34),
- matching incoming expressions against the table of pattern during the compilation of a program (page 186, left-hand column, lines 26 to 34, page 188, right-hand column, lines 1 to 23 where the rules are the table of patterns).

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known D1 in that the table of pattern is generated, it comprises an <u>FMA</u> DAG, a canonical form equivalent of the FMA DAG and a shape corresponding to the canonical form equivalent, and the <u>floating-point</u> expressions are matched against the patterns.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as how to decrease the time needed to perform an optimisation of floating-point expressions present in a source code.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The document D1 deals with simple ADD instructions and never refers to the optimisation for the specific case of the FMA instructions; moreover, D1 stays silent about the *generation* of a table of patterns but refers to rules that are defined once and not when making a code generation. Even if the document D2 deals with the optimisation of source code containing FMA instructions (column 18, lines 22 to 40), it never mentions a pattern matching and pattern table generation to perform this optimisation but rather use inlining of low level instructions with type transformation. The document D3 describes a compiler using pattern matching to select a code template optimising the code (page 39, lines 8 to 25) and also uses an intermediate language representing the expressions using a DAG in order to analyse the operator-operand structure (page 48, lines 11 to 26) but does not refer to the generation of the pattern table. None of the documents refers to the use of a shape corresponding to the canonical form of the DAG.

- 3. The subject-matter of claim 17 is the same as the subject-matter of claim 1, written as an article and is inventive for the same reasons.
- 4. The document D3 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 33, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A code generation system comprising:

- a processor
- a memory comprising a code generator (page 7, lines 4 to 15 where a compiler is a code generator) having an optimiser and associated table of patterns (figure 1 and page 39, lines 8 to 25 where the matching with a pattern makes unambiguous the table of patterns).

The subject-matter of claim 33 differs from this known D3 in that the processor comprises fused instructions, the code generator comprises a floating-point module, receives a floating point expression and generates a sequence of optimal FMA, FMS or FNMA instructions to compute the expression.

The subject-matter of claim 33 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/RU2006/000152

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as how to reduce the computing time of a compiled floating point expression.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 33 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons: The document D1 to D3 fails to disclose such an optimisation of floating-point expressions. Even if the document D2 discloses the optimisation of floating-point expressions, it never finds an optimal set of fused instructions but rather introduces inlined low level instructions in the source code (column 3, lines 6 to 12).

5. Claims 2 to 16, 18 to 32 and 34 to 39 are dependent respectively on claims 1, 17 and 33 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Bitte beachten Sie, dass angeführte Nichtpatentliteratur (wie z.B. wissenschaftliche oder technische Dokumente) je nach geltendem Recht dem Urheberrechtsschutz und/oder anderen Schutzarten für schriftliche Werke unterliegen könnte. Die Vervielfältigung urheberrechtlich geschützter Texte, ihre Verwendung in anderen elektronischen oder gedruckten Publikationen und ihre Weitergabe an Dritte ist ohne ausdrückliche Zustimmung des Rechtsinhabers nicht gestattet.

Veuillez noter que les ouvrages de la littérature non-brevets qui sont cités, par exemple les documents scientifiques ou techniques, etc., peuvent être protégés par des droits d'auteur et/ou toute autre protection des écrits prévue par les législations applicables. Les textes ainsi protégés ne peuvent être reproduits ni utilisés dans d'autres publications électroniques ou imprimées, ni rediffusés sans l'autorisation expresse du titulaire du droit d'auteur.

Please be aware that cited works of non-patent literature such as scientific or technical documents or the like may be subject to copyright protection and/or any other protection of written works as appropriate based on applicable laws. Copyrighted texts may not be copied or used in other electronic or printed publications or re-distributed without the express permission of the copyright holder.